Quantum Bit Error Estimation Based on the Syndrome of a Linear Code

Christoph Pacher^{1,†}, **Gottfried Lechner**²

[†] Christoph.Pacher@AIT.ac.at

Safety & Security Department, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, 1220 Vienna, Austria ² University of South Australia, Institute for Telecommunications Research (ITR), Mawson Lakes, SA 5095, Australia

SUMMARY

We derive and analyze a Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimator for the quantum bit error rate (QBER). The estimator is based on Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) codes. Bob takes as input only his raw key and the syndrome he has received from Alice. We focus our analysis [1] on check-regular LDPC codes where every row of the parity-check matrix has constant weight but briefly address the check-irregular case with non-constant weights as well. We obtain a quite accurate estimator that can be used for two tasks in QKD: as an improvement over the sampling estimator (which compares sets of individual bits), and to improve the efficiency in interactive reconciliation protocols.

1 RECONCILIATION WITH LOW-DENSITY PARITY-CHECK (LDPC) CODES

- One way to define a binary linear error correcting code is by means of its parity-check matrix H: The null-space of the parity-check matrix defines the set of all codewords: $C = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n : \boldsymbol{x} \mathbb{H}^T = \boldsymbol{0} \}.$
- ▶ If H is sparse the code is called *Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) code* [2].
- Codes with constant weight d (called check degree) in each row are check-regular.

2 ERROR ESTIMATION WITH LDPC CODES

- Yes, Bob can :) estimate the quantum bit error rate prior to decoding! We model the errors from the quantum channel, i.e. the individual bits of *e* as iid: Pr $\{e_i = 1\} = \rho$, where ρ denotes the quantum bit error rate (QBER).
 - Bob performs the calculation

$$\boldsymbol{S} := \boldsymbol{e} \boldsymbol{H}^T = (\boldsymbol{x}_A \oplus \boldsymbol{x}_B) \, \boldsymbol{H}^T = \boldsymbol{S}_A \oplus \boldsymbol{x}_B \boldsymbol{H}^T.$$

- An important application is reconciliation of data in quantum crypto: Assume Alice and Bob have obtained correlated vectors, \mathbf{x}_A and $\mathbf{x}_B = \mathbf{x}_A \oplus \mathbf{e}$, resp., where *e* is the errorword (of low weight).
- Then Alice calculates the syndrome $S_A := x_A H^T$ of her vector x_A and an LDPC code with parity-check matrix H and sends S_A on an error-free channel to Bob. If the quantum bit error rate has not been too large, Bob can reconstruct \mathbf{x}_A from \mathbf{x}_B and \mathbf{S}_A .
- But, can we reuse the syndrome for further purposes?

3 DERIVATION OF THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR FOR THE QBER

Let *m* denote the length of **S**, and $W = wt\{S\}$ denote the Hamming weight of **S**. The syndrome weight W is a binomially distributed random variable, i.e.,

$$\Pr\{W = w\} = f_{binom}(w; m, q) := {\binom{m}{w}} q^w (1 - q)^{m - w},$$
(2)

and the maximum likelihood (ML) estimate for ρ given a syndrome weight w is

$$\hat{\rho}(w) = \arg\max_{\rho'} \left\{ f_{binom}(w; m, f_d(\rho')) \right\},$$
(3)

which can be solved analytically. Equivalently, one can take the ML estimator for q $\hat{q}(w) = \frac{w}{m},$ (4)

and use it with (1) to obtain the estimate $\hat{\rho}$. Both approaches give the same result:

The individual bits of the syndrome *S* can be well approximated to also be i.i.d. The approximation consists in neglecting the (weak) correlation between syndrome bits that sum over a common data bit, x_i .

• With this approximation the probability q that a syndrome bit is one is [2]

$$q = f_d(\rho) := \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le d \\ i \text{ odd}}} {d \choose i} \rho^i (1-\rho)^{d-i} = \frac{1-(1-2\rho)^d}{2}.$$
 (1)

Institute for

Research

University of

South Australia

Telecommunications

4 PROPERTIES OF THE MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD ESTIMATOR Mean $\mu(d,\rho,m) = \mathbb{E}_{W}[\hat{\rho}(W)] = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} f_{binom}(w;m,f_{d}(\rho)) \left(1 - 2\frac{W}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}},$ (6) Bias $B(d, \rho, m) = \mu(d, \rho, m) - \rho.$ (7)Mean squared error (MSE) $\mathsf{MSE}(d,\rho,m) = \mathbb{E}_{W}\left[\left(\hat{\rho}(W) - \rho\right)^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{4} - 2\rho\mu(d,\rho,m) + \rho^{2}$ (8) $+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{w=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} f_{binom}(w; m, f_d(\rho)) \left(\left(1-2\frac{w}{m}\right)^{\frac{2}{d}}-2\left(1-2\frac{w}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right).$

The final estimator in closed form is

$$\hat{\rho}(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \left(1 - 2\frac{w}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}}{2} ; \frac{w}{m} \le 1/2 \\ \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{w}{m} > 1/2 \end{cases}$$
(5)

This approach can be generalized to check-irregular LDPC codes with different check degrees by replacing the binomial distribution in (2) with a multinomial distribution.

Cramér-Rao Lower Bound The mean squared error of any biased estimator is lower bounded by

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\boldsymbol{d},\rho,\boldsymbol{m}) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\mu(\boldsymbol{d},\rho,\boldsymbol{m})\right)^{2}}{\mathcal{I}(\rho)} + B^{2}(\boldsymbol{d},\rho,\boldsymbol{m}), \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\rho)$ is the Fisher information that the syndrome **S** carries about ρ :

$$\mathcal{I}(\rho) = -\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{S}}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\rho^2}\log\Pr\left\{\boldsymbol{S};\rho\right\}\right] = \frac{4md^2(1-2\rho)^{2d-2}}{1-(1-2\rho)^{2d}}.$$
 (10)

5 NORMALIZED MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION



- The analytical mean (6) of the estimator is close to the true parameter ρ .
- The mean (shown as markers) of a simulation of a regular LDPC code matches the analytical result.
- The simulated normalised standard deviation (shown as error bars) is (slightly) larger than the analytical result due to the violation of the independence assumption of the syndrome bits.

6 MEAN SQUARED ERROR COMPARED TO CRAMÉR-RAO LOWER BOUND AS FUNCTIONS OF ERROR RATE AND CHECK DEGREE



- Due to the relatively small number of check nodes there is a relatively large gap between the MSE (8) of the estimator and the Cramér-Rao bound (9).
- A higher check node degree leads to a significant increase of the MSE.

7 MEAN SQUARED ERROR AS FUNCTION OF NUMBER OF CHECK NODES AND CHECK DEGREE



- ► For small check node degrees d already a relatively small number of check nodes *m* leads to a small MSE.
- For a large number of check nodes, the curves approach the inverse of the Fisher information.

REFERENCES

- G Lechner, C Pacher, *Estimating Channel* [1] Parameters from the Syndrome of a Linear Code, accepted with minor revisions at IEEE Communications Letters (2013).
- R G Gallager, Low-density parity-check codes, IEEE [2] Transactions on Information Theory 8, 21–28 (1962).
- V Toto-Zarasoa, A Roumye, and C Guillemot, [3] Maximum Likelihood BSC Parameter Estimation for the Slepian-Wolf Problem, IEEE Communications Letters 15, 232–234 (2011).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF), project ICT10-067 (HiPANQ).



VIENNA SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY FUND